



GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1901-2.
(Sta.

File No. 128-01.

Serial Nos. 1 & 2.

Papers Filed.

Month of Jan. 02.

SUBJECT.

A Bill of Mr. [REDACTED] Sub Division [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

Final Note (11)

Just previous to the appointment of Mr K.P. Pottamachetty as Dy Commr Shimoga Dt, Mr N. Narasimmaiah Sub Dt officer Sagar was in charge of the Dt. He came from Sagar and assumed charge of the Dt on the afternoon of the 6th April and handed over charge on the ^{forenoon} afternoon of the 20th April 1901. He stayed at Shimoga on the 20th and the 21st and then ret^d to Sagar. He claims daily allow^{ance} for the 6th, 20th and the 21st. The Dy Commr recommended the same and says that the Sub Dt off^r stayed at Shimoga on the 20th and the 21st to confer with him on matters concerning the administration of the Dt.

The Comptroller has objected to pass the claim on the ground that the journey

being in connection with
the 'transport & charge of an
office, the officer is
entitled to only mileage
for the distance travelled,
and not to daily allowance
for the halts made at the
place under art 578.

The point for
consideration is whether
the journey performed
by Mr. Narasimhaiah
from Sagar to Shimoga
was made on transport
or not. The Dy Commr
holds that it was made
in order to take charge of
the Dt in addition to his
own duties, but not a
transport.

Submitted for orders of
Mr. Secretary.
19/11/01.

As the object of
the journey performed
was to take charge of the
District, and as Mr. Narasim
Nagappa does not claim any
Batta for the period he
was in District charge
viz from 7th to 19th April 1901,

it is doubtful how -
he would be entitled,
to any bated for a half
made in connection with
such a journey.

3
A.B.

12/12/1901

The fact that Mr.
W. Greenbaum had been
entitled to draw
halage and on the provi-
sion for 2 days freed
him from drawing under
another provision. As
subdivⁿ off^r to him
the District, he was on
duty for 2 days after
having over charge acc.
to Dy C¹⁸ direction. The
batta for 20 + 21st seems
therefore to be admis-
sible. That for the
6th seems to be un-
admissible as the
journey was made
expressly to take over

charge. But I am
 doubtful whether
 an off^r in charge
 can be held to be
 in transfer at all.
 He can only be
 so if he becomes entitled
 to the ~~fixed~~ ^{fixed} travel allow-
 (cont. 110) or to travel
 at higher rates
 (55) If this is not
 considered a transfer,
 the allowance may be ab-
 roved for all the days.

4/1/02

to the 3rd

Cancelled by

The question
 of transfer is
 not involved
 in the case. The
 of course there
 is a change of
 the ~~residence~~ ^{residence}.
 Mr. Warrington
 understands the family
 to be going to ~~London~~
 & ~~London~~ ^{London} returning,

4
 Change of resi-
 dence of Mr.
 Charles Smith, for
 the 6th April on the
 afternoon of which
 date the government
 change of D. Co. office,
 a for which day no
 change allowance
 could be granted. ~~From~~
 the object of the fund
 was not what it was
 he would certainly be
 entitled to ~~be~~
~~have~~ ^{have} the daily rate
 After handing over
 change he remained
 at St. St. ~~St. St.~~
 at the ~~opportunity~~ ^{opportunity} of ~~the~~
 at 7th. ~~regiment~~
 Dr. Curran. Her ex-
 Thompson's right & claim
 Butta for the two
 days.
 At ~~new~~ ^{new} ~~condition~~
 Butta for the
 three days ~~and~~
 claimed.

3/1/02

Issue 16/1/02
 4/1/02
 of bank
 17/1/02

1901. 1902.

(9)

From

K. S. Curtanna Chetty Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Minnog District.

To

H. V. Nanjundappa Esquire
D. S. & L.
Sub: to the Govt. of Mysore
H. and Rev. Dept.

Dated

9th October 1901.

Despatched

Received

General No.

366

No.

306

Sl
190

(1)

Enclosures Number. 2

Submits for the information
of Government copies of
the marginally noted
correspondence and
requests to obtain the
sanction of Govt. for 3
days beta disallowes
by the Comptroller
in the travelling &
allowance bill of Mr.
H. Nasarimangar Sub
Division Officer Sage
for April 1901.

P. H.

11/11/01

Recd 15-10-01.

8725
V. H. V.
(1)

1. Division Officer's
No. 6 of 2-7-1901.
2. Comptroller's No. 1161
of 15-8-1901.
3. Sub Division Officer's
No. 78 of 8-9-1901.

80 366 Ru 2.
306

Shimoga
9th October 1901.

From

K. C. Cuttanna Chetty Esquire
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District. (2)

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire ^{Dr. A.}
^{Dr. R.}
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Rev. Departments.

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith the travelling allowance bill of Mr. Karasim-
Linggar, Sub Division Officer -
Sagar, for the month of April
1901, in which halting leave
for three days has been dis-
allowed by the Comptroller.
As explained in the bill, the
halts in question were made
at

at Shimoga previous to relieving
Mr. Kanjundayya on his transfer
to the Secretariat and after my
joining the District. The Sub Division
Officer arrived at Shimoga on the
5th and received charge of the
District on the afternoon of the 6th.
He handed over charge on the
forenoon of the 20th and remained
that day and the following day —
(Sunday) at Shimoga, to confer
with me on matters concerning
the administration of the District.
His stay at Shimoga was on duty
and he has not drawn any charge
allowance for the period he was
in charge of the District. The
Comptroller who was addressed
on the subject states that as the
journey

(4)

journey was in connection with the transfer of charge of an office. The Sub Division Officer is entitled only to mileage for the distance travelled and not to daily allowance for halts made at the place, quoting article 578 of the Mysore Service Regulations as authority. But that article seems to imply such journey only when it is made on transfer from one station to another.

The journey in question was, as already stated, made by the Sub Division Officer in order to take charge of the District in addition to his own duties, but not on transfer. Under these circumstances the daily
batta

(5)
batta claimed appears to be a fair
claim and I accordingly submit the
bill for the sanction of Government

Copies of the marginally note
correspondence are enclosed for the
information of Government.

I have the honour to be
Sir,

Your most obedient servant

W. H. Thacker
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

For

N^o. 6 An 1 Bill.

Sagar Sub Division Office
Sagar, Dated 2nd July
1901.

From

($\frac{9}{a}$)

(b)

The Sub Division Officer
Sagar.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

Sir,

With reference to my beatta bill for the month of April 1901, received audited from the Comptroller for Rs 47-8-0 only disallowing Rs 15/- being my beatta for 3 days, viz. 6th, 20th and 21st of that month, I have the honour to state that the purpose of my journey was for taking charge of the District from Mr. H. V. Hanjundayya who was transferred to Bangalore as Secretary to Government, General and Revenue Departments. I took charge from Mr. Hanjundayya on the afternoon

afternoon of 6th April 1901 and was
in charge of the District in addition
to my own duties till the forenoon
of 20th April 1901, on which date
I handed over the charge of the District to you. As I have not
claimed the charge allowance both
for the 6th and 20th I claimed both
for those days. The following day
i.e. 21st April 1901 being Sunday,
I halted at Shiroga.

2. I consider that my claim for
3 days' allowance in question is
admissible, for the 6th and 20th, for
the reasons above mentioned, and
for the 21st, I think my claim is
also admissible it being support-
ed by Article 118 read with
article 549 of the Dnyore Civil
Service Regulations. I request
that

that you will be good enough to
get my better bill passed also
for the days referred to above. The
bill under reference is enclosed.

(8)

I have &c.

/ signed / H. Narasimengar
Rule Division Officer

Sagar.

1901.

From

E. R. Subramanyam Esquire B.A.B.C.
Comptroller, Mysore Government

To

K. S. Guttanna Chetty Esquire
Deputy Commissioner,
Minnaga District.

Dated 15th }
Dep^d 17th } August 1901.

N^o. 1161 An: 1 2/3 a Bill.
T.A. N^o 46

This docket N^o 127 dated 17th ult^m
returning the audited Travelling
allowance

allowance bill of Mr. N. Narasimengar,
Sub Division Officer, Sagar, for April
1901, with the observation that
the three days' daily allowance
claimed for the days for receiving and
handing over charge of the Shimoga
District and for a Sunday may be 9
admitted on the score that the
Sub Division Officer did not receive
charge allowance for those days.

~

In reply has the honour to state
that as the journey performed was
in connection with the transfer
of charge of an office, the officer
is entitled to only mileage
for the distance travelled and
not to daily allowance for
the halts made at the place.
Vide article 578 of the Mysore
Service Regulations.

/ signed / E. R. Embenger
Comptroller

1901. 1902

(9/2)

From,

H. Hanasimungar Esquire
Sub Division Officer
Sagar.

(10)

To

K. C. Curtanna Chetty Esquire
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga.

Dated 5th September 1901.

No. 78. Cu: 1 Bill.

His No. 481 dated 23rd Augu
1901 forwarding copy of docket No-
 $\frac{1161}{46}$ dated 15th August 1901, re-
ceived by him from the Comptroller,
Mysore Government on the subject
of disallowance of halting batta
for three days in the month of
April 1901.

With reference to the corres-
pondence ending with the above,
has

has the honour to state that Section
578 of the Mysore Service Regulation
referred to by the Comptroller as
authority for disallowing the bills 11
of the undersigned for 3 days does
not apply to the present case as the
journey undertaken by the under-
signed was not on transfer from
one station to another. The under-
signed went to Shimoga under
orders to hold temporary charge
of Shimoga District in addition
to his own duties pending appoint-
ment of permanent incumbents
to the office. The undersigned
has not drawn charge and
local allowance of the Deputy
Commissioner for the days in
question.

2. Under these circumstances
the batla for halts in Shimoga -
before receiving and after de-
livering over the charge of the
District is justifiable and there-
fore requests that the bill be sub-
mitted to the Government for their
consideration and sanction.

The bill in question is re-
submitted.

/Signed / H. Karasimangar
Sale Division Office
Sagar.

"Copies"

M. M. Hanumanth
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

For

Read:-

(12)

Letter No. $\frac{366}{306}$ d:

Sl (2)
87
Vol IV
(13)

9th October 1901 from the
Deputy Commissioner -
Shimoga Dt. Submitting the
travelling allowance bill
of Mr. N. Narasimmarao
Sub Dt. Officer Sagar for
the month of April 1901
and soliciting sanction
to the daily allowance therein
claimed for the three
days viz 6th, 20th and
24th April, which has
been disallowed by the
Comptroller on the ground
that as the journey performed
was in connection with
the transfer of charge
of an office, the officer
is entitled to only
mileage for the distance
travelled and not to
daily allowance for the
halts made at the
place, under art 578
of the Mysore Service
Regulations.

Order

Order thereon No. 11478/79
Comd. 714805 10-1-02

The question of transfer is not involved in the case, though of course, there is a change of Head Quarters. Mr. Narasimmicar undertook the journey to Shimoga to relieve Mr. Nanjundayya retaining charge of his own office. He claims batta for the 6th April on the afternoon of which date, he assumed charge of the Deputy Commr's office and for which day no charge at all could be granted. Even if the object of the journey was not what it was, he would certainly be entitled to daily batta.

(14)

2. After handing over charge, he remained at the D^t Head Quarters on the 20th and the 21st April, in the capacity of Sub D^r offr. at the request of the Dy. Commr.

Commr. He can therefore
rightly claim batta for
the two days.

(15)

~~Under the above circumstances~~
The batta claimed for
the three days is ^{actually} paid
and the bill forwarded
to the Comptroller for
audit, accordingly.

3rd cr's order)

Opt 8/1/1902
For Secretary

DMB
7/1/02

To The Dy. Commr Shunga
The Comptroller with
bill.